Law Offices of Howard Friedman, P.C.

90 Canal Street, 5th Floor Boston, MA 02114-2022 www.civil-rights-law.com

Telephone 617-742-4100

Fax 617-303-3938

Howard Friedman
David Milton
Drew Glassroth

May 26, 2015

Sen. Joan B. Lovely, Senate Chair Rep. Peter V. Kocot, House Chair Committee Members

Committee on State Administration and Regulatory Oversight

Re: Testimony in Support of H.2772 & S.1676

Chairwoman Lovely and Chairman Kocot and Members of the Committee:

Thank you for letting me testify in support of H.2772 and S.1676. My name is David Milton. I am a civil rights lawyer with the Law Offices of Howard Friedman in Boston. To investigate potential claims, our firm frequently makes public records requests of police departments and jails. We often receive prompt compliance, but without an attorney's fees provision to penalize noncompliance, agencies are free to flout the law.

On May 7, 2014, an MBTA police officer pulled an intoxicated, wobbling man away from the edge of a subway platform at Park Street Station. Surveillance cameras captured the incident. The next day, the Transit Police released an edited clip of the video and held a press conference to praise the officer's actions. About two weeks later my office got a call from the intoxicated man, who had been hospitalized after the incident with multiple facial fractures and other injuries. He claimed that after pulling him away from the track, the officer threw him down and repeatedly punched and kicked him.

I sent a public records request to the MBTA asking for a complete copy of the video. The T denied the request, citing two grounds. They cited the deliberative process exemption, which protects internal agency memos relating to policy Testimony of David Milton in Support of H.2772 & S.1676

May 26, 2015

Page 2 of 2

positions being developed. This clearly did not apply to the video, since whatever

took place on the Red Line platform that day, it was not a meeting of high-level T

officials deliberating policy matters.

The T also cited the investigatory exemption, which protects confidential

investigative techniques and sources. This also made no sense, since there is nothing

classified about a police officer's use of force in Park Street Station. And the T's

public release of a portion of video undermined any claimed need to keep it secret.

I called the MBTA lawyer who had denied my request. She gave a new

reason for the denial—a supposed litigation exemption. When I explained that the

law did not have a litigation exemption, and that there was no lawsuit in any event,

she insisted that the mere potential for litigation about the incident was grounds for

withholding public records.

I filed a public records lawsuit in Superior Court. The T hired a large

international law firm with offices in Boston, which assigned three lawyers to defend

the case. Eventually, in March of this year, the T gave up and gave me the video.

Ten months after my request, having spent almost \$300 in filing fees and

about 10 hours of my time, I finally had the video. Although it confirmed that the

officer had repeatedly punched and kicked the man, and showed that the T had

misled the public, the full video ultimately persuaded me not to bring a lawsuit. This

often happens once I get police records.

I support the attorney's fees provision in the bill not because I want

attorney's fees, but because I want to get public records without needing to file a

lawsuit. Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Milton

David Milton